

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course					The Instructor								
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ACC	2023	Tuo, Ling	13	69.2%	3.67	3.67	3.78	3.67	3.78	3.67	3.70	4.00	3.44	4.22	3.89	3.89	3.56	3.83
ACC	3023	Tuo, Ling	4	75.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
ACC	5003	Firoozi, Maryam	16	81.3%	4.31	4.15	4.08	4.15	4.15	4.23	4.18	4.54	4.38	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.50
ACC	6003	Firoozi, Maryam	13	84.6%	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.64	4.18	4.45	4.32	4.73	4.45	4.60	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.56
ACC	6003	Tuo, Ling	28	42.9%	4.75	4.58	4.42	4.75	4.58	4.67	4.63	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.72
ARC	1012	Schwartz, Martin	19	57.9%	4.73	4.82	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.73	4.67	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.91	4.91	4.83
ARC	1213	Dineen, Mark	9	88.9%	4.50	4.75	4.75	3.88	4.88	4.88	4.60	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.88	4.88	4.71
ARC	1213	Maricak, Gretchen	11	54.5%	4.17	4.00	4.83	3.67	4.50	4.17	4.22	4.83	3.83	4.17	3.83	5.00	4.50	4.36
ARC	1223	Bales, Fernando	11	54.5%	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.67	3.83	4.33	3.67	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.22
ARC	1223	Crutcher, Kenneth	17	58.8%	3.80	4.10	4.20	4.00	3.60	3.90	3.93	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.30	4.30	4.23
ARC	1223	Dineen, Mark	16	37.5%	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.17	4.67	4.50	4.58	4.83	4.50	4.83	3.83	4.67	4.83	4.58
ARC	1223	Maricak, Gretchen	14	50.0%	4.29	4.33	4.43	3.86	4.14	4.57	4.27	4.86	4.29	4.14	3.86	4.86	4.57	4.43
ARC	2116	Burdulis, Benediktas	12	8.3%	4.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	3.33	4.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
ARC	2116	Osler, Peter	12	8.3%	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
ARC	2126	Blendowski, Aaron	12	33.3%	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	3.50	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.29
ARC	2126	Elkin, Daniel	8	37.5%	3.67	3.67	3.67	2.50	3.33	3.67	3.49	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.50	4.33	4.00	3.88
ARC	2126	Feng, Jin	13	84.6%	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.64	4.65	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.67
ARC	2126	Haam, Songho	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	3.33	4.33	4.11	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
ARC	2126	Jones, Aaron	10	60.0%	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.22	4.50	4.17	4.83	4.00	4.50	4.67	4.44
ARC	2126	Orlowski, Edward	12	25.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67
ARC	2126	Stevens, James	13	84.6%	4.73	4.55	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.74	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.80
ARC	2126	Swanson, Karen	10	60.0%	3.50	3.83	3.50	3.20	3.17	3.50	3.48	3.67	3.00	3.83	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.66
ARC	2313	O'Geen, Charles	13	30.8%	4.50	4.75	3.50	3.50	2.50	3.25	3.67	4.50	4.50	3.25	4.00	3.25	4.00	3.92
ARC	2323	Ragheb, Ashraf	24	87.5%	4.43	4.43	4.33	4.38	4.33	4.38	4.38	4.29	4.38	4.67	4.52	4.57	4.48	4.48
ARC	2323	Tiseo, Benedetto	10	60.0%	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.17	3.50	3.67	3.78	4.67	3.67	4.50	3.67	4.50	4.00	4.17
ARC	2323	Ward, Eric	15	66.7%	3.80	4.10	4.40	4.10	3.60	4.20	4.03	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.80	4.80
ARC	2513	Faoro, Daniel	15	73.3%	3.73	3.36	3.55	3.36	3.00	3.27	3.38	4.27	2.82	3.18	3.27	2.82	3.09	3.24
ARC	2513	Shih, Kelchen	9	55.6%	3.20	3.20	3.20	3.00	2.80	2.80	3.03	3.20	2.80	2.80	2.80	3.20	3.00	2.97
ARC	2813	Dean, Kristen	12	33.3%	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.00	3.50	3.75	3.54	4.00	3.00	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.58
ARC	2813	Perez, Joshua	11	36.4%	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.29	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75
ARC	2843	Grant, Janice	9	66.7%	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.36
ARC	3116	Craig, Jack	14	42.9%	4.17	4.60	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.40	4.83	4.17	4.83	4.17	4.83	4.83	4.61
ARC	3116	O'Geen, Charles	14	42.9%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.83	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.50
ARC	3126	Adhya, Anirban	10	70.0%	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.12	4.29	3.57	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.00
ARC	3126	Bejo, Irsida	21	52.4%	3.09	3.55	3.18	2.64	3.27	3.00	3.12	3.91	3.09	4.00	3.09	4.18	3.36	3.61
ARC	3126	Kelly, Erin	10	70.0%	4.00	3.83	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.14	4.02	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.43	4.19
ARC	3126	Lancaster, Mary	8	62.5%	3.20	3.80	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.40	3.80	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.30
ARC	3126	Plowright, Philip	14	71.4%	3.90	4.20	4.30	4.20	3.60	3.80	4.00	4.50	3.60	3.70	4.56	3.90	4.10	4.05
ARC	3126	Roberts, Laura	14	71.4%	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.18	4.80	4.20	4.50	4.20	4.60	4.50	4.47
ARC	3126	Wong, Margaret	13	53.8%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.14	4.00	4.00	3.86	4.43	3.71	4.29	3.57	4.71	4.00	4.12
ARC	3233	Kamath, Ayodh	5	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	3423	Means, Janice	21	66.7%	3.07	3.71	3.57	3.00	2.86	2.71	3.15	4.29	3.00	3.14	2.79	4.14	2.93	3.38
ARC	3513	Habba, Faris	9	77.8%	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	3.71	3.86	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.29	4.45
ARC	3513	Shih, Kelchen	12	91.7%	3.36	4.00	3.64	3.55	3.55	3.18	3.55	4.27	3.09	3.36	3.55	3.73	3.36	3.56
ARC	3613	Hennebury, Deirdre	25	48.0%	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.17	4.17	4.29	4.58	4.42	4.25	4.27	4.25	4.25	4.35
ARC	3623	Gyure, Dale	44	65.9%	4.52	4.45	4.38	4.34	4.48	4.48	4.44	4.83	4.55	4.55	4.28	4.66	4.52	4.56
ARC	3823	Chidester, Jacob	15	66.7%	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.83	4.90	4.90	4.60	4.90	4.90	4.80	4.83
ARC	3823	Kamath, Ayodh	14	57.1%	4.13	3.88	3.63	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.79	3.88	3.00	4.50	3.88	4.25	3.88	3.90
ARC	3823	Oltrogge, Brian	15	60.0%	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.00	4.22	4.13	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.44
ARC	3843	Hall, Alan	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ARC	4114	Nashlen, Thomas	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	4.50	5.00	3.50	5.00	5.00	4.67
ARC	4116	Dineen, Mark	13	61.5%	4.13	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.33	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.69
ARC	4116	Styczynski, Michael	13	53.8%	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.69	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.83
ARC	4126	Gaqi, Pandush	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.17

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course					The Instructor					Instructor Average			
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.		The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.
ARC	4126	Mosley, Michael	13	76.9%	2.70	3.40	3.30	2.40	2.70	2.90	2.90	3.70	3.00	3.60	2.80	3.20	3.00	3.22
ARC	4126	Nashlen, Thomas	10	50.0%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.43
ARC	4126	Reeves, Randall	10	50.0%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.43
ARC	4126	Schneemann, Steven	13	76.9%	3.10	3.50	3.60	2.80	3.40	3.20	3.27	4.10	3.10	3.30	2.90	2.90	3.20	3.25
ARC	4126	Stevens, Tod	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
ARC	4183	Gyure, Dale	14	71.4%	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.70	4.50	3.90	4.70	4.60	4.53
ARC	4183	Swift, Amy	16	56.3%	3.11	3.78	3.33	2.89	3.38	3.33	3.29	4.00	3.11	3.22	3.22	4.11	3.44	3.52
ARC	4284	Wang, Paul	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.58	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.75
ARC	4443	Jovanovic, Julia	12	75.0%	3.89	4.00	3.89	4.00	4.00	3.78	3.93	3.89	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.44	4.11	4.02
ARC	4443	Means, Janice	10	40.0%	1.75	2.75	2.00	2.00	1.75	2.00	2.04	3.00	2.25	2.50	2.25	3.00	2.25	2.49
ARC	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	22	54.5%	3.83	3.83	3.75	3.75	3.42	3.75	3.72	4.17	3.92	3.83	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.93
ARC	4543	Faoro, Daniel	17	52.9%	3.44	3.56	3.56	3.56	4.00	3.33	3.56	4.67	3.78	4.25	4.22	3.44	3.78	3.99
ARC	4543	Makkawy, Abdel	18	61.1%	3.91	4.09	4.00	4.09	3.91	4.20	4.00	4.27	3.73	4.20	4.00	4.36	3.73	3.99
ARC	4813	Grant, Janice	13	53.8%	3.86	3.29	4.00	3.71	3.14	4.00	3.67	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.48
ARC	4843	Marji, Jessica	14	50.0%	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.24	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.02
ARC	5013	Bejo, Irsida	8	62.5%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.57	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.60	4.87
ARC	5013	Hoekstra, Ross	6	50.0%	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.72	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
ARC	5126	Abela, John	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	5126	Swartz, Natalie	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	5212	Kamath, Ayodh	4	75.0%	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.22
ARC	5423	Allen, William	15	46.7%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.74	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.93
ARC	5423	Nunez, Ralph	16	81.3%	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.23	4.23	4.69	4.41	4.77	4.46	4.69	4.15	4.85	4.69	4.60
ARC	5543	Sitaram, Patabh	5	40.0%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.42
ARC	5592	Ragheb, Ashraf	10	80.0%	4.88	4.63	4.88	5.00	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.88	4.88	5.00	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.92
ARC	5622	Keslacy, Elizabeth	6	83.3%	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.53	4.60	4.20	4.00	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.23
ARC	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	14	50.0%	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.00	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.29	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.98
ARC	5643	Plowright, Philip	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.89
ARC	5724	Kim, Joongsu	3	66.7%	3.50	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.50	4.00	3.67	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.08
ARC	5752	Linn, Robert	8	87.5%	4.71	4.57	5.00	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.76	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.79
ARC	5762	Moore, Dorian	9	88.9%	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.13	4.15	4.25	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13
ARC	5772	Nickita, Mark	7	71.4%	4.20	4.00	4.20	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.90	4.00	4.00	4.40	3.80	4.40	3.40	4.00
ARC	5782	Adhya, Anirban	6	66.7%	3.75	4.50	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.04	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75
ARC	5824	Colon, Jason	8	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	5824	Dean, Kristen	8	37.5%	4.67	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.39
ARC	5824	Farlow, Mark	7	71.4%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.27	5.00	4.20	4.80	4.40	5.00	4.80	4.70
ARC	5824	Jones, Aaron	8	50.0%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.75	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.75	4.25	4.33
ARC	5824	Oltrogge, Brian	10	50.0%	3.80	4.20	4.60	3.80	4.20	4.40	4.17	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.79
ARC	5824	Osler, Peter	8	37.5%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	3.67	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61
ARC	5824	Shall, Scott	10	70.0%	3.43	3.86	4.29	3.33	3.86	4.43	3.88	4.57	3.57	4.43	4.00	4.71	4.43	4.28
ARC	5824	Taylor, George	8	62.5%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.80	4.20	4.00	3.93	4.00	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.70
ARC	5824	Wang, Paul	6	66.7%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.72
ARC	5912	Kim, Joongsu	7	71.4%	3.20	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.75	3.45	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.60	3.63
ARC	5913	Harmala, John	14	57.1%	4.00	4.00	4.13	3.88	3.88	4.00	3.98	4.38	3.88	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.13	4.23
ARC	5913	Ward, Eric	16	31.3%	4.60	4.00	4.40	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.53	5.00	4.40	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90
ARC	5942	Herzog, Ronald	16	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.25	4.08	4.29	4.67	4.25	4.17	4.33	4.58	4.50	4.42
ARC	5952	Harmala, John	13	61.5%	3.13	3.75	3.63	3.25	3.00	3.25	3.33	3.63	2.88	3.75	3.25	3.75	3.38	3.44
ARC	6002	Gunn, Thomas	5	40.0%	3.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.83	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
ARC	6003	Coy, Steven	3	66.7%	3.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.50	3.30	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.67
ARC	6003	Grant, Janice	14	50.0%	4.14	4.57	4.14	3.71	4.29	4.43	4.21	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.52
ARC	6833	Abela, John	6	50.0%	5.00	4.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	6833	Colon, Jason	9	66.7%	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.40	5.00	4.83	4.82
ARC	6883	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	13	84.6%	2.50	2.90	3.10	2.90	2.50	2.50	2.73	3.00	3.50	4.40	4.10	4.11	3.30	3.72
ARC	6883	Nowicki, Michael	13	92.3%	4.73	4.91	4.91	4.82	4.55	4.82	4.79	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.80	4.80
ARC	6883	Whitinger, Andrew	13	84.6%	2.22	2.56	2.67	2.00	1.67	1.89	2.17	3.33	2.00	2.75	2.67	2.22	2.22	2.59
ARC	6884	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	12	66.7%	3.86	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.88	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.83	3.86

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ARC	6884	Karidis, George	12	58.3%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.67	4.67	4.58	
ARC	6884	Nelson, Ralph	12	66.7%	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.71	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.88	
ARC	6884	Nowicki, Michael	12	66.7%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.47	
ARI	3123	DiLaura, Lenard	16	81.3%	4.69	4.92	4.69	4.62	4.38	4.69	4.67	4.77	4.62	4.67	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.67
ARI	3124	Swanson, Karen	15	66.7%	2.80	3.10	3.40	2.70	2.50	2.80	2.88	3.80	2.20	3.00	2.50	3.70	2.30	2.92
ARI	4123	Kim, Joongsu	15	80.0%	4.33	4.50	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.25	4.42	4.08	4.42	4.25	4.29
ARI	4134	Phillips, Keith	9	66.7%	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.22
ARI	4922	DiLaura, Lenard	8	87.5%	4.43	4.14	4.29	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.19	4.29	4.43	4.67	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.35
ARI	5143	Feng, Jin	7	85.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.97
ARI	5824	Feng, Jin	11	63.6%	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.29	4.57	4.31	4.00	4.43	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.43	4.19
ART	1113	Welch, Elizabeth	13	69.2%	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.00	4.11	4.30	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.50
ART	1133	Craig, Jack	10	50.0%	4.40	4.40	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80
ART	1133	Dilaura, Vicki	14	64.3%	4.44	4.25	4.44	4.11	4.11	4.33	4.29	4.67	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.39
ART	1133	Hanna, Vance	9	44.4%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.25	4.25	4.00	3.92	4.50	3.75	4.25	3.50	5.00	4.00	4.17
ART	1133	Rost, Steven	12	75.0%	3.00	3.75	3.78	3.22	3.44	3.33	3.41	3.78	2.67	3.44	3.11	3.67	3.22	3.31
ART	1133	Rudy, Gretchen	16	81.3%	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.92	4.69	4.69	4.74	5.00	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.92	4.85	4.88
ART	2223	Hanna, Vance	8	62.5%	3.80	4.75	3.60	3.00	4.40	4.20	3.87	4.80	3.20	4.20	3.40	4.60	4.00	4.03
ART	2253	Safioulline, Maxim	4	25.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
ART	2413	Bush, Mary	16	62.5%	3.80	4.00	4.10	3.90	3.90	4.10	3.97	4.40	3.30	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.00
ART	2523	Crum, Lilian	12	66.7%	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.85	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ART	2623	Collins, Francesco	16	75.0%	4.17	4.33	4.33	3.58	4.08	4.17	4.11	4.58	4.08	4.17	3.75	4.42	4.25	4.21
ART	2813	Taylor, George	16	68.8%	4.18	4.33	4.36	4.00	4.55	4.36	4.30	4.64	4.27	4.64	4.36	4.82	4.45	4.53
ART	2993	Cooley, Phillip	8	37.5%	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.00	3.33	3.00	3.44	4.67	3.33	4.00	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00
ART	2993	Coy, Steven	8	25.0%	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.00	2.50	3.50	3.42	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.92
ART	3033	Schneider, Christopher	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.22	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
ART	3043	Coy, Steven	8	62.5%	4.40	4.40	4.20	3.60	4.40	4.40	4.23	4.80	4.40	4.60	3.60	4.80	4.40	4.43
ART	3323	Safioulline, Maxim	13	30.8%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
ART	3343	Safioulline, Maxim	11	54.5%	3.67	3.83	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.50	3.67	4.33	3.17	3.83	3.33	4.50	3.67	3.81
ART	3513	Taylor, George	8	50.0%	3.25	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.75	3.75	3.63	4.00	3.00	3.75	3.25	4.00	3.75	3.63
ART	3633	Newberry, Jessica	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.57	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.77
ART	3643	Newberry, Jessica	17	58.8%	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.20	4.38	4.90	4.80	4.50	4.50	4.90	4.40	4.67
ART	4113	Rudy, Gretchen	8	62.5%	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.63	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
ART	4513	Kleist, William	5	60.0%	4.67	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.33	4.33	3.94	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.28
ART	4524	Crum, Lilian	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ART	4622	Crum, Lilian	18	27.8%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.47	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.63
ART	4922	Welch, Elizabeth	6	50.0%	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.56
ART	5524	Jones, Aaron	3	66.7%	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.58
ART	5524	Taylor, George	3	100.0%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44
ART	5542	Stevens, Jason	3	100.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
ATD	1924	Cha, Geeho	11	63.6%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.62	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.69
ATD	1924	English, Derek	11	54.5%	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.72	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.72
ATD	1924	Falenski, Jason	11	54.5%	5.00	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.89	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.86
ATD	1924	Gilmore, Steven	11	54.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.92	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.89
ATD	1924	Kangas, Daniel	11	54.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.92	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.72
ATD	2823	Eash, Matthew	7	71.4%	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	2823	Robie, Kyle	7	71.4%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.20	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.70
ATD	2826	Cooper, Stuart	7	85.7%	4.80	5.00	4.40	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.33	4.81
ATD	2826	Cowles, Paul	7	57.1%	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.71	4.00	3.25	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.92
ATD	2826	Crowley, Joseph	7	71.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	2826	English, Derek	7	71.4%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	2826	Falenski, Jason	7	71.4%	4.25	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.25	3.25	3.67	4.80	3.40	3.00	3.40	3.80	3.00	3.57

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ATD	2832	Nagara, Keith	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	
ATD	2933	Vandenbrink, Lloyd	11	72.7%	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.83	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.85	
ATD	2933	Vandenbrink, Sean	11	72.7%	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.67	4.88	4.75	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.56	
ATD	3626	Channey, Royce	6	33.3%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
ATD	3626	Hanzel, Andrew	19	36.8%	2.86	3.00	3.43	2.29	2.71	2.71	2.83	4.14	2.57	3.00	2.57	3.71	3.17	
ATD	3626	Huacuja, Roberto	19	36.8%	4.14	4.29	4.00	4.14	3.57	3.57	3.95	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.14	4.43	4.40	
ATD	3626	Zhang, Jing	13	38.5%	2.40	2.60	2.60	2.40	2.20	2.60	2.47	2.80	2.40	2.60	2.40	2.60	2.50	
ATD	3726	Graf, Benjamin	10	40.0%	4.25	4.33	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.21	
ATD	3726	Nichols, Christopher	10	40.0%	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.65	5.00	4.75	4.25	4.25	4.75	4.63	
ATD	4524	Palazzolo, Joseph	16	56.3%	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.67	4.56	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.78	4.67	5.00	4.89	
ATD	4526	Feliciano, Emilio	11	45.5%	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.13	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.67	
ATD	4526	Gaffka, Douglas	11	45.5%	4.40	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.20	3.80	4.03	4.80	4.20	4.80	4.20	4.40	4.47	
BIO	1153	Siddiq, Fauzia	11	45.5%	3.40	3.20	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.40	3.37	4.40	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.70	
BIO	1223	Siddiq, Fauzia	21	66.7%	4.07	4.21	4.29	4.14	4.38	3.79	4.12	4.43	3.93	4.50	4.07	4.00	4.15	
BIO	1231	Siddiq, Fauzia	20	65.0%	4.00	4.00	3.85	3.85	4.08	4.00	3.92	4.31	3.77	4.54	4.00	4.46	4.19	
BIO	3201	Massey, Katherine	9	66.7%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	
BIO	3201	Morrisette, Jeffery	18	61.1%	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.76	
BIO	3203	Morrisette, Jeffery	30	60.0%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.64	4.83	4.72	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	
BIO	3323	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	23	60.9%	4.29	4.50	4.36	4.43	4.29	4.31	4.36	4.71	4.57	4.64	4.64	4.79	4.64	
BIO	3713	Grewal - Karwa, Jaswinder	13	76.9%	2.60	3.30	3.30	2.90	3.10	3.20	3.07	4.30	2.70	3.50	3.20	3.80	3.47	
BIO	4812	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	9	55.6%	3.40	4.20	4.00	3.60	4.00	4.00	3.87	4.40	3.80	3.60	4.00	4.20	4.00	
BIO	4813	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	9	66.7%	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.36	
BIO	4922	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	
BIO	6173	Williams, Antionette	5	40.0%	4.00	2.50	2.50	1.50	2.50	3.00	2.67	3.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.50	2.17	
BME	1002	Meyer, Eric	30	60.0%	4.06	4.28	4.17	4.06	3.89	4.11	4.09	4.50	4.22	4.47	4.28	4.50	4.38	
BME	3002	Meyer, Eric	15	73.3%	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.42	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.50	
BME	3101	Nasir, Mansoor	17	52.9%	4.11	3.78	3.89	3.56	3.33	3.89	3.76	4.56	3.89	4.11	3.89	4.56	4.22	
BME	3103	Nasir, Mansoor	17	52.9%	3.78	3.67	4.11	3.89	3.78	4.00	3.87	4.67	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.44	4.33	
BME	3213	Bou-Akl, Therese	27	63.0%	3.94	3.76	4.06	3.94	3.82	3.82	3.89	4.29	3.94	4.18	4.24	4.24	4.15	
BME	4022	Nasir, Mansoor	16	50.0%	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.50	4.50	4.31	4.63	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.38	
BME	4113	Nasir, Mansoor	12	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.33	4.63	4.13	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.46	
BME	4201	Nidetz, Robert	14	50.0%	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.43	4.31	4.57	4.43	4.57	3.71	4.57	4.40	
BME	4203	Nidetz, Robert	13	61.5%	4.25	4.25	4.13	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.15	4.75	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.38	4.27	
BME	4263	Zhou, Changong	5	60.0%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.28	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.28	
BME	4313	Meyer, Eric	11	54.5%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	
BME	5093	LeCarpentier, Gerald	4	25.0%	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	
BME	5093	Meyer, Eric	8	25.0%	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.83	
CHM	1154	Holubka, Joseph	18	44.4%	3.50	3.63	2.88	3.38	3.71	3.14	3.33	3.88	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.13	3.83	
CHM	1154	Karian, Harutun	20	75.0%	3.60	3.60	3.93	3.73	3.33	3.27	3.58	3.93	3.13	3.47	3.73	3.67	3.52	
CHM	1213	Appleby, Lavetta	23	56.5%	2.08	2.54	2.38	3.08	2.31	2.23	2.41	4.00	3.54	3.77	4.00	3.69	3.74	
CHM	1213	Sharath, Anusha	21	76.2%	2.56	2.81	2.75	3.19	2.60	2.06	2.66	3.56	3.69	4.19	4.19	4.06	3.87	
CHM	1213	Sky, Anthony	16	43.8%	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.71	3.14	3.29	3.48	4.14	3.43	3.71	4.00	4.29	3.90	
CHM	1213	Vamvouka, Magdalini	18	77.8%	1.93	2.36	2.57	2.29	1.75	1.57	2.09	2.79	2.36	2.57	2.71	2.29	2.52	
CHM	1221	Appleby, Lavetta	19	63.2%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.75	4.92	4.75	4.42	4.69	
CHM	1221	Dean, Walter	18	72.2%	3.85	3.85	3.92	3.77	3.69	3.69	3.79	3.92	3.69	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.82	
CHM	1221	Holubka, Joseph	17	58.8%	4.90	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.73	
CHM	1221	Karian, Harutun	20	50.0%	3.90	4.10	4.20	4.30	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.30	4.10	4.00	4.20	3.80	4.00	
CHM	1221	Vamvouka, Magdalini	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	
CHM	1223	Villeneuve, Nicole	10	60.0%	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.81	
CHM	1232	Villeneuve, Nicole	9	77.8%	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.79	5.00	4.71	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.90	
CHM	2323	Aviles, Gladys	14	92.9%	4.31	3.92	3.92	4.00	4.15	4.00	4.05	4.31	4.08	4.46	4.15	4.46	4.26	
CHM	2332	Timmons, Shannon	12	75.0%	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.56	4.48	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.57	

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course					The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
CHM	3144	Akbarian, Fathali	15	26.7%	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.42	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.67
CHM	3423	Appleby, Lavetta	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17
CHM	3431	Appleby, Lavetta	2	100.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83
CHM	4403	Timmons, Shannon	6	83.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHM	4632	Villeneuve, Nicole	4	100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.04
CHM	4643	Yousif, Maryam	4	100.0%	2.75	2.50	2.75	2.75	2.25	2.25	2.54	3.25	2.50	2.25	2.25	2.50	2.25	2.50
CHM	4922	Timmons, Shannon	2	100.0%	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	94	Powaser, Lisa	24	45.8%	4.09	4.00	4.00	3.91	3.91	4.00	3.98	4.00	4.18	4.09	3.91	4.09	4.00	4.05
COM	100	Abbas, Nawal	8	50.0%	3.50	3.00	3.75	4.00	2.75	2.50	3.25	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.25	3.54
COM	1001	Faulkner, Ty	16	25.0%	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.75	4.42
COM	1001	Maiseloff, Stephen	16	68.8%	3.91	3.82	3.73	3.82	3.73	3.55	3.76	4.18	4.09	4.45	4.27	4.36	4.09	4.24
COM	1103	Gordon, Aviva	16	68.8%	4.36	4.36	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.32	4.36	4.36	4.18	4.36	4.45	4.27	4.33
COM	1103	Grant, Leigh	15	46.7%	5.00	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.79	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.69
COM	1103	Moyer, Daniel	23	39.1%	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.57
COM	1103	Powaser, Lisa	14	64.3%	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.11	4.19	4.44	4.11	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.31
COM	1103	Wallace, Sharon	21	57.1%	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.88	4.92	4.83	4.92	4.92	4.83	4.83	4.88
COM	1111	Abbas, Nawal	7	57.1%	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.13
COM	2103	Floyd, Stacha	38	50.0%	3.83	3.94	4.11	3.63	3.63	3.58	3.75	4.37	4.11	4.39	3.74	4.00	3.95	4.08
COM	2103	Helterhoff, Holly	21	66.7%	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.43	4.36	4.50	4.40	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.57	4.58
COM	2103	Mack, April	40	67.5%	4.22	4.04	4.23	4.04	4.19	4.19	4.15	4.30	4.26	4.15	4.07	4.33	4.15	4.21
COM	2103	Martz, Patricia	36	55.6%	3.70	3.63	4.00	3.35	3.45	3.35	3.57	4.30	3.45	3.55	3.50	3.65	3.40	3.64
COM	2103	Otto, Carolyn	38	42.1%	3.94	4.06	4.00	3.88	3.88	3.75	3.92	4.13	4.00	4.06	3.94	4.13	3.88	4.02
COM	2113	Clees, Patricia	5	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	2113	Stavish, Corinne	24	66.7%	4.63	4.25	4.50	3.94	4.19	4.38	4.31	4.69	4.50	4.31	4.06	4.63	4.63	4.47
COM	2443	Evans, Karen	12	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
COM	3101	Finlay, Suzanne	2	50.0%														
COM	3101	Rescoe, Sarah	6	33.3%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
COM	3473	Nunez, Richard	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.83	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
COM	3543	Fry, Jennifer	4	25.0%	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
COM	3553	Stavish, Corinne	5	40.0%	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
COM	4963	Helterhoff, Holly	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	6473	Nunez, Richard	6	16.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	6493	Helterhoff, Holly	2	50.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
COM	6553	Stavish, Corinne	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92
EAE	1093	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	20	80.0%	2.69	3.00	3.38	3.13	2.63	2.69	2.92	4.00	3.56	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.63	3.68
EAE	1093	Jovanovic, Julia	20	80.0%	2.81	3.00	3.06	3.00	2.63	2.38	2.81	3.56	3.44	3.81	4.00	3.44	3.63	3.65
EAE	3014	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	13	84.6%	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.09	4.09	4.18	4.30	4.00	4.09	3.78	4.36	4.09	4.14
EAE	3014	Bebawy, Mena	13	84.6%	4.27	4.27	4.36	4.36	4.09	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.22	4.36	4.00	4.45	4.64	4.46
EAE	3014	Nelson, Ralph	13	84.6%	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.53	4.82	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.76
EAE	3613	McKale, Dennis	11	81.8%	4.11	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.22	3.56	4.44	4.20
EAE	4024	Driedger, Mark	8	75.0%	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.11	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
EAE	4024	Faoro, Daniel	8	75.0%	2.67	3.00	3.33	2.33	2.83	3.00	2.86	2.33	2.33	2.33	2.17	2.50	2.00	2.28
EAE	4024	Stoolmiller, Karl	8	75.0%	3.83	3.67	3.83	3.33	3.83	4.00	3.75	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.72
EAE	4613	Stoolmiller, Karl	12	83.3%	3.70	4.60	4.00	4.40	3.70	4.20	4.10	5.00	4.70	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.92
EAE	4623	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	10	100.0%	1.90	2.70	2.80	2.60	2.10	2.20	2.38	2.80	2.80	3.80	3.10	3.20	3.00	3.12
EAE	4623	Kachur, Amanda	10	90.0%	2.38	2.63	2.75	2.75	2.50	2.63	2.60	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.29
EAE	4623	Means, Janice	10	90.0%	2.00	2.22	2.33	2.11	2.00	2.11	2.13	2.44	2.00	2.44	1.89	3.67	1.78	2.37
ECE	1013	Livernois, Michael	6	83.3%	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.43	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.47
ECE	1102	Bebawy, Mena	7	85.7%	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.69	5.00	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.61
ECE	1413	Bandara, Nishantha	11	100.0%	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.27	4.18	4.24	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.18	4.18	4.18	4.23
ECE	2103	Henson, Susan	15	60.0%	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.20	4.67	3.89	4.44	4.22	4.00	4.22	4.24

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ECE	3013	Bebawy, Mena	9	66.7%	3.83	4.33	4.00	4.17	3.67	4.33	4.06	4.33	4.00	3.83	3.83	3.83	4.17	4.00
ECE	3213	Karatas, Aslihan	8	75.0%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.39	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.19
ECE	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	16	100.0%	4.38	4.19	4.25	4.31	4.31	4.38	4.30	4.81	4.19	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.44	4.39
ECE	3424	Lobbestael, Adam	18	72.2%	4.23	4.00	4.33	4.31	4.31	4.15	4.21	4.69	4.38	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.38	4.54
ECE	3523	El-Essawi, Mohamed	8	75.0%	4.17	4.33	4.17	3.83	3.83	4.00	4.06	4.50	3.50	3.67	4.17	4.17	3.50	3.92
ECE	3723	Kowalkowski, Keith	22	90.9%	4.45	4.20	4.30	4.25	4.26	4.30	4.29	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.30	4.55	4.35	4.45
ECE	3823	Bandara, Nishantha	24	87.5%	4.43	4.29	4.62	4.33	4.38	4.43	4.41	4.52	4.29	4.10	4.29	4.48	4.38	4.34
ECE	4032	Henson, Susan	10	100.0%	3.50	4.00	4.10	3.50	4.10	4.20	3.89	3.70	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.25
ECE	4051	Carpenter, Donald	8	87.5%	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.55	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90
ECE	4243	Tocco, John	19	68.4%	4.38	4.62	4.77	3.46	4.08	4.31	4.27	4.77	4.23	4.08	3.85	4.62	4.15	4.28
ECE	4363	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	5	100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.77	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ECE	4544	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	13	100.0%	4.46	4.62	4.54	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.45	4.77	4.54	4.54	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.53
ECE	4743	Livernois, Michael	17	94.1%	4.44	4.31	4.38	4.63	4.19	4.38	4.39	4.94	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.44	4.44	4.65
ECE	4753	Kowalkowski, Keith	13	100.0%	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.23	4.46	4.56	4.92	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.71
ECE	4761	Bebawy, Mena	11	100.0%	4.18	4.00	4.18	4.09	3.82	3.55	3.97	4.64	4.09	4.36	4.27	4.09	4.36	4.30
ECE	5113	Karatas, Aslihan	7	100.0%	5.00	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90
ECE	5223	Karatas, Aslihan	19	78.9%	4.00	3.73	4.20	3.67	4.00	3.87	3.90	3.60	3.73	4.07	4.07	3.80	3.67	3.82
ECE	5243	Kosnik, Donald	22	72.7%	4.63	4.69	4.69	4.75	4.81	4.81	4.73	4.75	4.56	4.69	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.69
ECE	5273	Tocco, John	10	60.0%	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.36	4.67	4.67	4.50	3.83	4.17	4.17	4.33
ECE	5283	Toma, Chris	7	100.0%	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.60	5.00	4.71	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.90
ECE	5293	Sarsam, Haitham	19	78.9%	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.80	4.73	4.87	4.83	4.87	4.80	4.87	4.80	4.73	4.93	4.83
ECE	5413	Lobbestael, Adam	9	88.9%	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.00	3.75	3.88	4.02	4.13	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.21
ECE	5543	Carpenter, Donald	10	90.0%	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.33	3.89	4.22	4.19	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.33
ECE	5703	Bebawy, Mena	21	85.7%	4.83	4.89	4.83	4.83	4.72	4.83	4.82	4.94	4.83	4.89	4.89	4.72	4.83	4.85
ECE	5723	Bukaita, Wisam	8	100.0%	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.88	5.00	4.88	4.96
ECE	5783	Chynoweth, Matthew	26	96.2%	4.76	4.56	4.76	4.68	4.56	4.72	4.67	4.72	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.84	4.80	4.76
ECE	5843	Bandara, Nishantha	26	96.2%	4.52	4.56	4.60	4.60	4.56	4.64	4.58	4.60	4.52	4.68	4.60	4.56	4.64	4.60
ECE	5913	Foye, Kevin	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.83	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17
ECE	5913	Tocco, John	5	80.0%	3.25	4.00	3.75	2.25	3.50	3.75	3.42	4.75	3.25	3.75	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.88
ECE	5923	Mekjian, Gary	19	68.4%	4.77	4.46	4.77	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.69	4.85	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.85	4.71
ECE	6213	Tocco, John	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	1001	Olivier, Philip	21	23.8%	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.40	3.20	3.40	3.53	4.40	3.60	3.60	3.40	3.80	3.80	3.77
EEE	2111	Renehan, Bridget	25	52.0%	4.00	4.08	4.08	3.85	3.62	4.00	3.94	4.00	3.69	3.62	4.00	3.62	3.77	3.78
EEE	2114	Kolasa, William	26	50.0%	2.77	3.38	3.23	2.67	2.77	2.77	2.95	3.92	2.54	2.54	2.69	3.00	2.77	2.91
EEE	2123	LeCarpentier, Gerald	32	75.0%	3.17	3.39	3.75	3.46	3.21	3.17	3.35	3.75	3.21	3.43	3.79	3.54	3.29	3.50
EEE	2123	Wrenbeck, Thomas	30	46.7%	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.39	4.50	4.43	4.71	4.50	4.71	4.64	4.58
EEE	2214	Kenyon, Scott	13	46.2%	4.00	3.00	3.50	3.83	3.33	4.00	3.61	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.31
EEE	3011	Jaber, Nabih	14	35.7%	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.25	3.29	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.25	4.21
EEE	3121	AlGhamdi, Ali	12	50.0%	2.83	2.83	2.67	3.00	2.83	2.67	2.81	3.50	3.17	3.33	3.17	3.33	3.17	3.28
EEE	3124	Cloud, Michael	16	37.5%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.64	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.61
EEE	3221	Anneberg, Lisa	16	56.3%	4.22	3.89	4.56	4.22	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.44	4.33	5.00	4.44	4.44
EEE	3223	Anneberg, Lisa	25	52.0%	4.38	4.38	4.69	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.41	4.46	4.31	4.54	4.46	4.85	4.38	4.50
EEE	3231	Sweet, Benjamin	17	41.2%	4.43	4.43	4.67	4.29	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.14	4.43	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.31
EEE	3233	Chase, Richard	25	52.0%	4.00	3.77	4.00	4.08	3.77	3.75	3.88	3.92	4.08	4.15	4.15	4.38	3.85	4.09
EEE	3311	AlGhamdi, Ali	19	63.2%	3.83	3.67	3.92	3.75	3.92	3.91	3.82	3.33	3.55	3.42	3.33	3.40	3.17	3.32
EEE	3314	AlGhamdi, Ali	21	71.4%	3.57	3.36	4.14	3.64	3.50	3.43	3.61	3.07	2.93	3.33	3.47	3.54	2.80	3.18
EEE	3414	Cloud, Michael	20	45.0%	4.00	4.44	4.44	4.22	4.11	3.89	4.19	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.70
EEE	3422	Johnston, Richard	18	55.6%	4.30	4.20	4.40	3.60	4.00	4.30	4.13	4.70	4.20	4.70	3.70	4.70	4.50	4.42
EEE	4131	Brahmandhabheri, Vishwana	5	100.0%	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.33	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.47
EEE	4133	Gladyshev, Sergey	12	75.0%	4.00	4.00	4.44	4.22	3.89	4.00	4.09	3.78	4.00	4.11	3.89	3.78	4.00	3.93
EEE	4261	Anneberg, Lisa	11	45.5%	3.40	3.80	3.80	3.40	3.80	3.20	3.57	4.20	4.00	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.30
EEE	4263	Pappas, George	12	58.3%	4.00	4.57	4.71	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.31	4.43	3.71	4.71	4.14	4.57	4.14	4.29
EEE	4273	Anneberg, Lisa	24	50.0%	4.25	4.33	4.42	4.25	3.92	4.25	4.24	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.58	4.75	4.58	4.61
EEE	4313	Kenyon, Scott	6	50.0%	4.33	3.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.28	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EEE	4323	Cooper, Richard	6	50.0%	3.33	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.33	3.44	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.67	4.33	3.00	3.72
EEE	4423	Xia, Jinjun	18	61.1%	3.36	3.27	3.27	3.73	3.45	3.27	3.39	4.18	2.91	3.45	3.91	3.64	3.00	3.52
EEE	4514	Verma, Rajeev	10	60.0%	4.50	4.00	4.67	3.83	3.67	4.17	4.14	4.67	4.00	4.50	3.83	4.00	4.17	4.19
EEF	4713	Kolasa, William	11	36.4%	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.96	4.25	4.00	3.25	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.79
EEE	4811	Jaber, Nabih	10	50.0%	3.00	3.40	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.10	4.40	3.80	4.00	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.10
EEE	4822	Jaber, Nabih	12	58.3%	3.86	3.67	4.00	3.71	4.14	4.00	3.92	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.00
EEE	4831	Jaber, Nabih	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	4842	Jaber, Nabih	7	42.9%	2.67	2.67	3.67	2.67	3.67	2.67	3.00	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.72
EEF	5114	Ali, Mahdi	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	5114	Cloud, Michael	19	42.1%	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.85	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
EEE	5114	Olivier, Philip	16	62.5%	4.20	3.80	4.40	4.56	4.50	4.20	4.24	4.60	4.30	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.50	4.53
EEE	5134	Cook, Kenneth	3	100.0%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
EEE	5134	Gladyshev, Sergey	3	66.7%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.75
EEF	5204	Anneberg, Lisa	40	45.0%	4.61	4.67	4.78	4.61	4.44	4.50	4.60	4.72	4.83	4.78	4.78	4.72	4.78	4.77
EEE	5364	Pappas, George	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	5444	Hua, Kun	42	59.5%	4.64	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.60	4.68	4.66	4.68	4.72	4.80	4.64	4.76	4.56	4.69
EEE	5444	Rakouth, Heritianarisoa	13	76.9%	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.30	4.50	4.30	4.25	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.57
EEE	5914	Rakouth, Heritianarisoa	3	100.0%	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.56	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.72
EEF	5924	Jaber, Nabih	24	45.8%	4.73	4.82	4.91	4.73	4.82	4.91	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.88
EEE	6784	Xia, Jinjun	58	39.7%	4.39	4.43	4.30	4.48	4.13	4.26	4.33	4.43	4.26	4.50	4.59	4.55	4.48	4.43
EEE	6994	Chase, Richard	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.78	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.89
EEM	6583	Gebara, Naji	17	88.2%	4.53	4.27	4.53	4.27	4.87	4.57	4.51	4.87	4.60	4.73	4.53	4.80	4.67	4.70
EEM	6763	Eren, Serhat	18	72.2%	4.85	4.77	4.92	4.85	4.77	4.69	4.81	4.92	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.85	4.90
EEM	6803	Alwerfalli, Daw	38	76.3%	4.66	4.55	4.66	4.41	4.45	4.62	4.56	4.69	4.66	4.69	4.59	4.66	4.72	4.67
EGE	1001	Henson, Susan	18	88.9%	4.69	4.50	4.31	4.81	4.63	4.50	4.57	4.88	4.88	4.81	4.75	4.88	4.94	4.85
EGE	1001	Morano, Heidi	21	47.6%	4.40	4.40	4.10	4.60	3.70	3.90	4.18	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.45
EGE	1023	Crosbie, Gary	12	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.08	3.92	4.36	4.92	4.50	4.67	4.92	4.58	4.58	4.71
EGE	1023	Yee, Kingman	33	87.9%	3.76	3.43	3.59	4.00	3.25	3.03	3.50	3.93	2.90	3.37	3.76	2.45	2.96	3.21
EGE	1102	Fernandez, Vernon	21	38.1%	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.38	4.25
EGE	1102	Pawlowski, Roger	29	44.8%	4.38	4.15	4.31	4.08	4.00	4.08	4.17	4.62	4.23	4.15	4.31	3.92	4.46	4.28
EGE	2013	Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	47	61.7%	3.97	4.07	3.93	4.07	3.59	3.96	3.91	3.76	3.66	3.96	3.90	3.90	3.52	3.76
EGE	2013	Kerns, James	43	97.7%	4.36	4.38	4.33	4.40	4.26	4.36	4.35	4.31	4.10	4.52	4.33	4.40	4.29	4.33
EGE	2231	Pohly, Sharon	23	60.9%	4.36	4.29	4.43	4.50	3.86	3.93	4.23	4.36	4.50	4.36	4.29	4.45	4.29	4.36
EGE	2233	Reimer, Donald	55	56.4%	3.74	3.87	3.87	3.68	3.87	3.65	3.78	4.45	4.03	4.13	3.97	4.26	4.10	4.16
EGE	3003	Brown, Jeffrey	17	64.7%	4.55	4.45	4.73	4.64	4.45	4.55	4.56	4.82	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.73	4.68
EGE	3003	Frasch, Lewis	14	71.4%	4.30	4.70	4.60	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.40	4.90	4.40	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.68
EGE	3012	Garakani, Manigh	29	89.7%	3.12	2.85	2.85	3.23	2.68	2.50	2.87	3.28	2.50	3.27	3.00	3.00	2.31	2.87
EGE	3012	Mullin, Thomas	71	60.6%	3.98	4.05	4.12	4.07	3.95	3.79	3.99	4.30	4.21	4.35	4.33	4.23	4.19	4.27
EGE	3341	Johnston, Kevin	5	60.0%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.28
EGE	3361	Reimer, Donald	23	47.8%	4.09	4.36	4.27	3.82	4.09	3.82	4.08	4.45	3.91	4.27	4.09	4.36	4.18	4.21
EGE	4311	Reimer, Donald	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
EIE	2012	Mullin, Thomas	3	66.7%	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.67
EIE	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
EIE	3033	Stuart, Charles	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.08	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
EIE	3123	Ali, Sk	9	44.4%	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.71	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.71
EIE	3353	Islam, Muhammad	8	62.5%	3.60	4.20	4.40	3.80	3.60	3.40	3.83	3.20	4.00	3.80	4.20	3.00	3.40	3.60
EIE	4013	Ali, Sk	12	50.0%	3.50	3.67	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.50	3.58	4.17	3.33	3.50	3.33	3.83	3.50	3.61
EIE	4252	Ali, Sk	3	33.3%	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	1.67	3.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.33
EIE	4553	Reimer, Donald	7	71.4%	4.00	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.30	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.33
EIE	6663	Ogundu, Joseph	36	47.2%	4.35	4.12	4.29	4.12	4.25	4.18	4.21	4.47	4.24	4.35	4.24	4.47	4.47	4.37
EIE	6673	Nasser, Mohamed	32	50.0%	4.50	4.56	4.50	4.44	4.56	4.50	4.51	4.50	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.50	4.56	4.52

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course					The Instructor					Instructor Average			
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.		The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.
EME	1011	Ali, Sk	6	66.7%	3.50	3.75	3.50	2.75	4.00	4.00	3.58	4.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.96
EME	1011	Bell-Huff, Cristi	24	66.7%	4.81	4.60	4.63	4.69	4.88	4.69	4.72	4.75	4.69	4.63	4.75	4.69	4.81	4.72
EME	1011	Fletcher, Robert	74	64.9%	4.19	4.09	4.04	3.87	4.06	4.04	4.03	4.34	4.06	4.15	4.17	4.17	4.02	4.15
EME	1011	Kerns, James	15	66.7%	4.40	4.22	4.30	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.35	4.90	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.70	4.73
EME	2011	Saha, Chandan	17	64.7%	4.00	4.27	4.09	3.55	4.09	4.00	4.00	4.09	3.91	3.82	3.64	3.91	4.00	3.89
EME	2011	Yee, Kingman	34	73.5%	4.72	4.68	4.60	4.48	4.64	4.68	4.63	4.64	4.68	4.72	4.64	4.52	4.68	4.65
EME	2012	Mullin, Thomas	51	52.9%	4.63	4.67	4.74	4.59	4.42	4.63	4.62	4.63	4.63	4.78	4.67	4.63	4.70	4.67
EME	3011	Kerns, James	13	100.0%	2.85	3.09	3.23	2.92	2.75	2.77	2.95	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.50
EME	3011	Yee, Kingman	44	75.0%	3.58	3.87	3.94	3.73	3.82	3.70	3.74	4.19	3.88	4.19	4.03	4.13	4.13	4.05
EME	3013	Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	14	64.3%	3.78	4.11	3.44	3.78	3.33	3.33	3.63	4.44	3.56	3.89	4.11	4.44	3.78	4.04
EME	3013	Shahwan, Khaled	18	77.8%	3.79	3.79	3.64	3.64	3.43	3.43	3.62	3.57	3.50	3.79	3.64	3.64	3.21	3.56
EME	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	29	89.7%	3.54	4.04	3.58	3.35	3.42	3.65	3.60	4.54	3.73	3.92	3.56	4.35	3.81	3.98
EME	3033	Garakani, Manigeh	10	90.0%	4.22	4.11	4.00	4.33	3.89	3.89	4.07	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.22	4.22	4.43
EME	3033	Stuart, Charles	31	74.2%	4.17	4.26	4.13	4.26	4.13	4.04	4.17	4.74	4.43	4.65	4.65	4.74	4.65	4.64
EME	3043	Riedel, Chris	19	73.7%	4.50	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.21	4.21	4.33	4.57	4.43	4.50	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.40
EME	3043	Shahwan, Khaled	22	77.3%	4.18	4.41	4.47	4.41	4.35	4.24	4.34	4.41	4.29	4.47	4.53	4.41	4.24	4.39
EME	3123	Gerhart, Andrew	19	84.2%	4.19	4.25	4.44	4.38	4.44	4.25	4.32	4.94	4.63	4.44	4.63	4.88	4.75	4.71
EME	3123	Pawlowski, Roger	32	68.8%	4.23	4.18	4.05	4.23	4.18	4.05	4.15	4.59	4.27	4.27	4.32	4.23	4.23	4.32
EME	3133	Sitaram, Pattabhi	19	68.4%	4.08	4.15	4.15	4.15	3.92	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.00	4.15	4.15	4.08	3.92	4.06
EME	3133	Stuart, Charles	28	67.9%	4.42	4.47	4.47	4.37	4.42	4.42	4.43	4.58	4.53	4.58	4.58	4.53	4.53	4.55
EME	3214	Patel, Krunal	13	100.0%	4.08	3.92	4.08	4.15	4.00	3.92	4.03	4.38	4.31	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.53
EME	4003	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	28	60.7%	4.18	4.12	4.29	3.65	4.18	4.18	4.10	4.12	3.59	3.47	3.59	3.65	3.47	3.65
EME	4003	Riedel, Chris	25	56.0%	4.07	4.21	4.14	4.29	4.00	3.93	4.11	4.64	4.29	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.36	4.42
EME	4013	Arslan, Selin	33	63.6%	4.52	4.57	4.57	4.62	4.57	4.62	4.58	4.81	4.76	4.76	4.67	4.76	4.76	4.75
EME	4222	Yee, Kingman	34	61.8%	3.71	3.80	3.90	3.76	4.05	3.95	3.83	4.53	4.11	4.53	4.47	4.42	4.47	4.40
EME	4253	Yee, Kingman	31	74.2%	4.17	3.91	4.17	4.09	4.22	4.04	4.10	4.30	4.35	4.43	4.43	4.26	4.39	4.36
EME	4313	Alwerfalli, Daw	38	50.0%	4.37	4.16	4.32	4.26	4.21	4.26	4.26	4.74	4.47	4.47	4.42	4.47	4.42	4.50
EME	4323	Williams, John	11	36.4%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79
EME	4402	Fernandez, Vernon	28	78.6%	3.14	3.45	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.45	3.34	3.77	2.91	2.95	3.27	3.50	3.09	3.25
EME	4412	Gerhart, Andrew	44	68.2%	4.53	4.47	4.53	4.50	4.53	4.50	4.51	4.73	4.70	4.47	4.60	4.77	4.67	4.66
EME	4603	Jammoussi, Hassene	28	78.6%	3.64	3.86	4.00	3.50	3.86	3.50	3.73	4.05	3.68	3.77	3.59	3.55	3.50	3.69
EME	4603	Marek, Stanley	14	64.3%	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.56	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.74
EME	4613	Kheirallah, Mike	12	75.0%	4.78	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.91
EME	4613	Pawlowski, Roger	30	70.0%	4.48	4.52	4.48	4.38	4.33	4.30	4.42	4.57	4.52	4.52	4.62	4.48	4.48	4.53
EME	4983	Bell-Huff, Cristi	17	58.8%	3.90	3.90	4.00	4.20	3.70	4.20	3.98	4.30	4.40	4.50	4.20	4.50	4.40	4.38
EME	5143	Yassine, Mahmoud	60	73.3%	4.50	4.53	4.59	4.55	4.41	4.43	4.50	4.57	4.50	4.61	4.56	4.41	4.55	4.53
EME	5153	Arslan, Selin	34	85.3%	4.79	4.79	4.76	4.76	4.62	4.76	4.75	4.90	4.83	4.79	4.76	4.76	4.83	4.81
EME	5153	Sun, Richard	34	58.8%	4.90	4.75	4.85	4.80	4.75	4.85	4.82	4.80	4.90	5.00	4.95	4.80	4.90	4.89
EME	5213	Fernandez, Vernon	32	75.0%	3.96	4.30	4.25	4.21	4.17	4.17	4.13	4.46	4.17	4.39	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.32
EME	5223	El-Essawi, Mohamed	26	76.9%	4.15	4.10	4.10	4.15	3.95	4.00	4.08	4.30	4.00	4.20	3.95	4.05	3.90	4.07
EME	5253	Makki, Imad	33	57.6%	4.32	4.42	4.37	4.16	4.11	4.21	4.26	4.58	4.47	4.47	4.42	4.58	4.53	4.51
EME	5253	Sun, Richard	31	67.7%	4.71	4.71	4.81	4.67	4.52	4.75	4.69	4.76	4.62	4.81	4.81	4.90	4.76	4.78
EME	5263	Fletcher, Robert	25	76.0%	4.32	4.26	4.16	4.21	4.37	4.26	4.26	4.42	4.22	4.37	4.11	4.58	4.37	4.34
EME	5313	Fletcher, Robert	9	55.6%	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.73	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	5323	Mynderse, James	14	28.6%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.46
EME	5333	Riedel, Chris	21	66.7%	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.79	4.86	4.86	4.82	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.86	4.93	4.93	4.92
EME	5353	Liu, Liping	33	81.8%	4.67	4.70	4.78	4.67	4.63	4.67	4.69	4.89	4.74	4.85	4.78	4.85	4.81	4.82
EME	5433	DeRose, Giuseppe	34	70.6%	4.71	4.46	4.46	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.52	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.68
EME	5983	Allegretto, Gianluca	12	50.0%	3.80	3.83	4.17	3.83	4.00	4.20	3.94	4.50	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.19
EME	5983	Ogundu, Joseph	31	67.7%	4.76	4.52	4.60	4.48	4.48	4.57	4.56	4.67	4.62	4.62	4.43	4.52	4.57	4.57
EME	6113	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	29	55.2%	3.94	4.06	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.02	4.00	3.94	4.00	4.06	3.94	4.00	3.99
EME	6133	Liu, Liping	32	62.5%	4.90	4.95	4.85	4.90	4.75	4.85	4.87	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.75	4.85	4.85	4.83
EME	6213	Fernandez, Vernon	39	74.4%	4.17	4.34	4.39	4.24	4.28	4.21	4.27	4.62	4.41	4.59	4.34	4.59	4.48	4.51
EME	6243	Jawad, Badih	30	56.7%	4.35	4.41	4.40	4.41	4.29	4.29	4.35	4.47	4.24	4.29	4.31	4.24	4.24	4.28
EME	6353	Shih, Shan	37	75.7%	4.18	4.30	4.43	4.32	4.29	4.18	4.28	4.29	4.07	4.32	4.32	4.64	4.21	4.31

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course					The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EME	6383	Shih, Shan	34	58.8%	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.55	4.65	4.57	4.50	4.50	4.70	4.55	4.80	4.70	4.63
EME	6413	Schihl, Peter	31	67.7%	4.90	4.86	4.90	4.90	4.71	4.90	4.87	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.81	4.81	4.86	4.87
EME	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	18	72.2%	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.69	4.77	4.67	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.87	4.87
EME	6993	Alwerfalli, Daw	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	6	100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
EMS	5603	Pandian, Annamalai	5	80.0%	3.00	3.50	4.25	3.50	3.25	3.75	3.54	3.00	2.25	3.25	4.25	2.75	3.00	3.08
EMS	6403	Ali, Sk	44	63.6%	3.96	4.04	3.93	3.89	4.04	3.93	3.96	4.18	3.93	4.04	3.82	3.96	3.96	3.98
EMS	7303	Choucair, Hassan	27	59.3%	4.13	4.19	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.88	4.11	3.94	4.13	4.19	4.19	4.19	4.06	4.11
EMS	7613	Chestnut, Jacqueline	23	47.8%	3.82	3.91	4.55	3.73	4.27	3.91	4.03	4.22	3.36	3.64	3.70	3.82	3.45	3.61
ERE	2024	Kfoury, Giscard	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60
ERE	3024	Kfoury, Giscard	10	80.0%	3.50	3.63	4.13	3.86	3.63	3.75	3.73	4.38	3.63	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.88
ESL	112	Lampis, Giulia	8	87.5%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.17	4.23
ESL	132	Bauer, Emily	15	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.63	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.83
ESL	132	Brodermann, Caroline	10	50.0%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.53	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.57
ESL	152	Finlay, Suzanne	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	152	Theisen, Mary Lou	14	50.0%	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.52	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.55
ESL	212	Edward, Pauline	12	58.3%	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.00	4.43	4.24	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.55
ESL	232	Bennett, Douglas	12	83.3%	4.20	4.00	4.00	3.90	4.00	4.20	4.05	4.50	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.50	4.37
ESL	232	Corp, Scott	10	40.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.58
ESL	232	Edward, Pauline	17	64.7%	4.82	4.82	4.73	4.91	4.64	4.91	4.80	4.91	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.88
ESL	232	Salamey, Marwan	8	12.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	252	Bennett, Douglas	10	90.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.28	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.56
ESL	252	Corp, Scott	16	62.5%	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.65	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.68
ESL	252	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	17	88.2%	4.67	4.60	4.73	4.67	4.67	4.53	4.64	4.67	4.73	4.73	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.68
ESL	312	Pawlick, Joanne	11	72.7%	4.00	3.63	3.75	4.25	4.13	4.00	3.96	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.43	4.44
ESL	332	Edward, Pauline	16	56.3%	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.50	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.76
ESL	352	Corp, Scott	14	57.1%	4.63	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.81	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
ESL	352	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	15	86.7%	4.15	3.69	4.46	4.54	4.25	4.15	4.20	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.58	4.62	4.54	4.58
ESL	412	Lampis, Giulia	9	88.9%	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.52	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.38
ESL	432	Brodermann, Caroline	15	46.7%	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.33	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.17
ESL	432	Mirza, Megan	17	76.5%	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.54	4.69	4.77	4.65	4.85	4.85	4.77	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.78
ESL	452	Mirza, Megan	19	73.7%	4.57	4.71	4.50	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.63	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.60
ESL	452	Theisen, Mary Lou	15	60.0%	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.76
ESL	512	Revels, Teresa	9	100.0%	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.59	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.57
ESL	532	Revels, Teresa	9	88.9%	4.38	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.21	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.50	4.13	4.38	4.29
ESL	552	Berri, Sarah	12	58.3%	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.79
ESL	552	Brodermann, Caroline	8	62.5%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90
ESL	552	Finlay, Suzanne	9	77.8%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.67	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
ESL	612	Berri, Sarah	15	73.3%	4.45	4.09	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.33	4.82	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.67
ESL	632	Corp, Scott	13	53.8%	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.00	4.29	4.14	4.12	4.43	4.43	4.14	4.00	4.29	4.00	4.21
ESL	652	Pawlick, Joanne	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.56
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	11	36.4%	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.08	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.21
FIN	3203	Zhang, Yu	4	100.0%	5.00	4.75	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
GAM	2133	Ashton, Marshall	9	88.9%	4.25	4.63	4.38	3.75	4.13	4.13	4.21	4.63	3.75	4.00	4.14	4.50	4.13	4.14
GAM	2313	Evans, Bryce	18	44.4%	4.38	4.43	4.50	3.75	4.75	4.63	4.42	4.75	4.38	4.63	4.25	4.75	4.63	4.56
GAM	3143	Evans, Bryce	6	66.7%	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.63	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75
GAM	3313	Ashton, Marshall	4	100.0%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.63
GAM	3413	Evans, Bryce	4	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.67
GAM	4524	Ashton, Marshall	9	22.2%	4.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.58

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
HRM	3023	Swiantek, Cynthia	23	43.5%	4.30	4.50	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
HRM	4023	Cole, Matthew	20	30.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
IDD	1124	English, Derek	7	42.9%	4.67	4.50	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.69	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89
IDD	1124	Falenski, Jason	7	42.9%	5.00	4.50	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.72
IDD	1124	Heyne, David	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	5.00	4.61	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.83
IDD	1124	Levich, Michael	7	42.9%	3.00	4.00	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.33	4.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67
IDD	1223	Nagara, Keith	17	58.8%	4.00	4.10	4.60	3.89	4.70	4.30	4.28	4.00	3.90	4.00	4.00	4.30	4.30	4.07
IDD	2223	Graf, Benjamin	6	50.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.83
IDD	2226	Dimovski, Dalibor	6	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.75	4.79	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IDD	2226	English, Derek	6	66.7%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.67	5.00	4.75	3.25	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.58
IDD	2226	Falenski, Jason	6	50.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.75
IDD	2226	Kijanka, Rob	6	66.7%	4.00	4.50	4.75	3.75	4.25	4.50	4.29	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.71
IDD	2226	Palazzolo, Joseph	6	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.78
IDD	3326	Nagara, Keith	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58
IDD	3326	Preuss, Kevin	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IDD	3326	Zingalie, Anthony	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IDD	3723	Baker, Brian	16	43.8%	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.57	4.86	4.86	4.83	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.88
IDD	4526	McMillan, Andrew	5	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IDD	4526	Mikalauskas, Christopher	5	20.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
INT	2114	Grembi, Jason	15	80.0%	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.25	4.40	4.50	4.42	4.25	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.40
INT	3103	Alexandris, Athanasios	21	76.2%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.81	4.69	4.75	4.75	4.81	4.81	4.69	4.63	4.56	4.75	4.71
INT	3203	Dillard, Terrance	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.48	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.43
INT	3803	Ku, Chih	8	87.5%	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.05	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.57	4.14	4.43
INT	4013	Dillard, Terrance	11	72.7%	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.29
INT	4023	Meier, Wesley	10	30.0%	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78
INT	4303	Montgomery, Robert	16	100.0%	4.44	4.31	4.44	4.38	4.31	4.31	4.36	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.40
INT	5024	Grembi, Jason	26	53.8%	4.36	4.36	4.50	4.43	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.36	4.43	4.29	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.43
INT	6043	Kohnke, Anne	27	44.4%	4.58	4.42	4.33	4.58	4.58	4.73	4.51	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.64
INT	6043	Motaghi, Hamed	28	35.7%	3.90	3.90	4.00	4.20	4.10	3.90	4.00	3.90	3.60	3.90	4.00	3.70	3.80	3.82
INT	6113	Caushaj, Eralda	14	42.9%	4.17	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.53	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.61
INT	6113	Ku, Chih	20	95.0%	4.95	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.84	4.95	4.90	4.95	4.84	4.95	4.89	4.89	4.95	4.91
INT	6123	Kohnke, Anne	27	66.7%	4.61	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.61	4.59	4.44	4.50	4.39	4.33	4.50	4.39	4.43
INT	6143	Chasse, James	24	45.8%	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.33	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.72
INT	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	18	94.4%	4.47	4.59	4.41	4.76	4.41	4.41	4.51	4.47	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.65	4.53	4.54
INT	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	26	100.0%	3.62	3.73	3.62	3.58	3.81	3.85	3.70	3.96	3.50	3.85	3.50	3.85	3.77	3.74
INT	7223	Caushaj, Eralda	28	71.4%	4.75	4.80	4.75	4.80	4.75	4.80	4.78	4.80	4.65	4.75	4.75	4.80	4.75	4.75
INT	7243	Kohnke, Anne	11	45.5%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.17	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20
INT	7253	Ku, Chih	27	92.6%	4.56	4.76	4.68	4.52	4.56	4.64	4.62	4.76	4.64	4.72	4.64	4.64	4.68	4.68
INT	7593	Allen, Victor	24	95.8%	4.74	4.65	4.43	4.70	4.52	4.43	4.58	4.78	4.52	4.70	4.65	4.61	4.57	4.64
LDR	2001	Coratti, Anthony	17	58.8%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.58
LDR	2001	Craig, Brian	19	68.4%	4.46	4.23	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.37	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.38
LDR	2001	Faulkner, Ty	20	50.0%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.30	3.90	3.90	4.12	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.47
LDR	2001	Gaber, Jody	41	68.3%	4.56	4.33	4.37	4.22	4.22	4.19	4.31	4.59	4.48	4.37	4.37	4.52	4.33	4.44
LDR	2001	Jolly, Katherine	22	68.2%	4.27	4.27	4.40	4.53	4.20	3.93	4.27	4.13	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.13	4.20	4.14
LDR	2001	Nagara, Keith	17	58.8%	3.90	3.10	3.80	3.60	3.50	3.30	3.53	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.55
LDR	2001	Randall, Matthew	14	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.68	4.80	4.30	4.70	4.60	5.00	4.70	4.68
LDR	2001	Shamir, Mirit	34	47.1%	3.63	3.75	3.56	3.50	3.33	3.44	3.55	4.06	3.75	3.87	3.75	4.00	3.63	3.84
LDR	3000	Craig, Brian	215	52.6%	3.76	3.61	3.65	3.81	3.51	3.29	3.60	4.00	3.96	3.80	3.99	4.04	3.92	3.95
LDR	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	79	46.8%	3.70	3.61	3.54	3.51	3.31	3.17	3.46	3.91	3.97	4.17	4.09	3.97	3.94	3.99

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course					The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
LLT	1213	Finkelman, Louis	38	50.0%	4.47	4.58	4.58	4.47	4.32	4.21	4.44	4.84	4.63	4.74	4.32	4.95	4.63	4.68
LLT	1213	Frenkel, Cindy	19	73.7%	4.29	4.36	4.29	4.07	4.21	3.93	4.19	4.64	4.43	4.50	4.14	4.64	4.36	4.45
LLT	1213	Kustarz, Michelle	16	75.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.17	4.17	4.22	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.25	4.22
LLT	1213	Schantz, Randy	41	56.1%	3.35	3.39	3.52	3.30	3.22	3.09	3.31	3.87	3.43	3.30	3.30	3.57	3.43	3.49
LLT	1213	Weinstein, Melinda	56	50.0%	4.07	4.21	4.04	4.04	3.82	3.93	4.01	4.43	4.25	4.19	4.04	4.39	4.18	4.24
LLT	1213	Weiss, Daniel	19	52.6%	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.10	4.10	4.44	4.30	4.80	4.80	4.50	4.20	4.80	4.80	4.65
LLT	1213	Wilhelm, Stephanie	20	55.0%	4.09	3.82	4.09	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.36	4.18	4.18	4.36	4.09	4.36	4.26
LLT	1223	Brinker, Ludger	37	59.5%	4.45	4.38	4.38	3.91	4.05	3.86	4.08	4.67	4.09	4.18	4.05	4.67	4.14	4.25
LLT	1223	Jaussen, Paul	34	88.2%	4.30	4.23	4.33	4.27	4.33	4.27	4.29	4.67	4.53	4.60	4.47	4.67	4.60	4.59
LLT	1223	Kustarz, Michelle	18	44.4%	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.38	3.63	3.88	4.15	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.35
LLT	1223	Lamers, Sara	37	48.6%	3.94	3.83	4.06	3.78	3.89	3.67	3.86	4.28	3.94	4.22	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.05
LLT	1223	Moyer, Daniel	10	60.0%	3.17	3.67	3.83	3.50	3.67	3.33	3.53	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.42
LLT	1223	Wilhelm, Stephanie	14	64.3%	4.00	4.22	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.19	4.22	3.89	4.11	3.89	4.00	4.00	4.02
LLT	3433	Lamers, Sara	21	57.1%	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.08	3.75	3.92	4.06	4.50	4.25	4.08	4.25	4.42	4.17	4.28
LLT	3623	Jaussen, Paul	21	81.0%	4.47	4.35	4.35	4.24	3.94	4.41	4.29	4.71	4.65	4.76	4.53	4.88	4.76	4.72
LLT	4213	Weinstein, Melinda	17	47.1%	4.50	4.63	4.38	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.17	4.88	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.38	4.50
MBA	5013	Cole, Matthew	17	41.2%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.95	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.79
MBA	6013	Castelli, Patricia	14	78.6%	4.91	4.91	5.00	5.00	4.91	4.91	4.94	5.00	4.91	5.00	4.91	5.00	5.00	4.95
MBA	6013	Schneider, Eric	9	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.61	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.92
MBA	6023	Marx, Thomas	7	71.4%	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.65	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.73
MBA	6023	Teolis, David	8	75.0%	4.83	4.17	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.72
MBA	6033	Tsebro, Pavlo	9	22.2%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MBA	6043	Marx, Thomas	20	45.0%	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.00	3.78	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.24
MBA	6053	Kiani, Isar	9	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.39	4.56	4.44	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.65
MBA	6063	Eren, Serhat	20	80.0%	4.50	4.69	4.63	4.81	4.63	4.69	4.66	4.69	4.69	4.81	4.75	4.56	4.75	4.71
MBA	6063	Paryani, Kiousmars	17	94.1%	3.88	4.25	4.25	3.81	4.19	4.19	4.09	4.13	3.81	3.94	3.81	3.81	3.94	3.91
MBA	6063	Raghavan, Srikant	12	75.0%	4.56	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.44	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.76
MBA	6073	Marx, Thomas	8	50.0%	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.58
MBA	6073	Taj, Shahram	17	82.4%	4.64	4.64	4.57	4.57	4.64	4.64	4.62	4.71	4.64	4.57	4.71	4.79	4.71	4.69
MBA	7023	Tsebro, Pavlo	6	66.7%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MBA	7053	Castelli, Patricia	27	66.7%	4.89	4.89	4.94	4.83	4.83	4.89	4.88	4.94	4.94	4.94	4.94	5.00	4.94	4.95
MBA	7063	Allen, Victor	24	95.8%	4.17	4.09	4.22	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.10	4.09	4.00	4.22	4.17	4.04	4.09	4.10
MBA	7063	Drommi, Antonio	21	61.9%	4.00	3.69	3.92	3.92	3.54	3.77	3.81	4.00	3.85	3.85	4.00	3.77	3.92	3.90
MBA	7073	Shah, Nipa	14	85.7%	4.58	4.33	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.92	4.67	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.83	4.81
MBA	7173	Taleb, Hassan	18	61.1%	4.36	4.27	4.27	4.64	4.18	4.27	4.33	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.72
MCO	1003	Gaber, Jody	9	100.0%	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.56	4.41	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.78	4.72
MCO	1013	Hughes, Dana	17	41.2%	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.48	4.00	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.43
MCO	3203	Swantek, Phillip	11	45.5%	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.10	3.80	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.97
MCO	3613	Raj, Roop	16	68.8%	3.73	4.10	4.18	4.00	3.82	3.91	3.92	4.18	4.45	4.45	3.82	4.45	4.00	4.23
MCO	3623	Anderanin, Paula	19	47.4%	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.22	3.67	4.22	4.13	4.67	4.00	3.89	4.00	4.56	4.33	4.24
MCO	3713	Swantek, Beth	6	50.0%	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.78
MCO	3913	Gaber, Jody	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCO	4073	Schlegel, Nicholas	15	46.7%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
MCO	4933	Gaber, Jody	4	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
MCS	44	Mills, Hans	11	45.5%	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.53	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63
MCS	51	Jadoun, Naela	11	36.4%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.04
MCS	54	Morris, John	19	52.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	3.90	4.10	4.32	4.60	4.30	4.10	4.40	4.00	4.44	4.28
MCS	83	Timbre, Keith	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.00	3.33	3.94	3.67	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.89
MCS	93	Timbre, Keith	16	68.8%	4.27	4.27	4.36	4.36	3.91	3.82	4.17	4.55	4.18	4.09	4.36	4.36	4.27	4.30
MCS	1003	Shaba, Amal	19	42.1%	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.31	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.58

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course					The Instructor								
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	1074	Morris, John	23	47.8%	4.36	4.00	4.82	4.55	4.45	4.82	4.50	4.91	4.73	4.27	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.70
MCS	1113	Coval, Brad	9	33.3%	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MCS	1113	Hambir, Tesfu	8	50.0%	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.25	3.75	4.21	4.75	4.25	3.75	4.00	3.25	3.75	3.96
MCS	1113	Zendeli, Bashkim	13	84.6%	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.00	4.35	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.27	4.36	4.45
MCS	1123	Coval, Brad	12	41.7%	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.73	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80
MCS	1142	Daod, Nadera	14	50.0%	3.43	3.57	3.57	3.00	3.14	3.43	3.36	3.14	3.00	3.14	2.57	3.14	3.29	3.05
MCS	1142	Goulding, Thomas	21	81.0%	4.29	4.41	4.35	4.06	4.35	4.18	4.27	4.71	4.47	4.59	4.47	4.71	4.29	4.54
MCS	1142	Shaba, Amal	18	44.4%	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.23	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.02
MCS	1214	Jadoun, Naela	21	38.1%	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.25	3.38	3.54	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.63	4.00	3.63	3.67
MCS	1224	Alyass, Kussiy	11	54.5%	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.83	4.33	4.17	3.83	3.83	4.06
MCS	1224	Cartwright, Christopher	20	55.0%	4.82	4.82	4.91	4.82	4.91	4.82	4.85	5.00	4.91	4.91	4.82	4.91	5.00	4.92
MCS	1224	Zendeli, Bashkim	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	1254	Vaynberg, Yelena	24	83.3%	4.20	4.25	4.45	4.25	4.20	4.20	4.26	4.45	4.30	4.55	4.35	4.65	4.40	4.45
MCS	1414	Cartwright, Christopher	46	37.0%	4.59	4.59	4.65	4.65	4.47	4.59	4.59	4.82	4.47	4.65	4.71	4.59	4.59	4.64
MCS	1414	Turfe, Atallah	10	50.0%	3.00	3.20	3.60	4.00	3.60	3.20	3.43	4.20	3.00	3.60	3.40	2.40	2.40	3.17
MCS	1414	Yu, Na	21	52.4%	4.00	4.00	3.90	4.00	3.90	4.10	3.98	4.30	4.10	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.23
MCS	1424	Alyass, Kussiy	23	43.5%	3.30	3.89	3.90	3.80	3.10	3.20	3.50	3.30	2.80	3.10	3.00	2.20	2.67	2.84
MCS	1424	Carter, Sharon	20	60.0%	4.42	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.42	4.33	4.47	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.58	4.83	4.50	4.63
MCS	1424	Merscher, Michael	29	86.2%	4.54	4.58	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.54	4.52	4.63	4.46	4.38	4.21	4.13	4.46	4.38
MCS	1424	Zendeli, Bashkim	26	88.5%	4.57	4.35	4.57	4.52	4.30	4.30	4.43	4.78	4.57	4.61	4.70	4.52	4.52	4.62
MCS	1424	Zhu, Guang-Chong	20	50.0%	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.56	4.60	4.50	4.61	4.90	4.70	4.80	4.56	4.90	4.70	4.76
MCS	1514	Azar, Ghassan	44	63.6%	3.46	3.79	3.68	3.14	3.61	3.36	3.51	3.82	3.07	3.70	3.04	3.57	3.18	3.39
MCS	1514	Faust, Eric	15	6.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83
MCS	1623	Zurawski, Erik	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
MCS	1653	Mills, Hans	24	37.5%	4.22	4.00	4.22	3.78	4.22	4.56	4.20	4.67	3.78	4.56	3.67	4.44	4.44	4.26
MCS	2124	Zalzali, Michael	29	41.4%	4.45	4.27	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.50	4.82	4.64	4.36	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.58
MCS	2313	Husseini, Ghassan	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	2414	Dabkowski, Michael	50	58.0%	3.45	2.90	3.07	3.66	3.45	3.34	3.31	4.31	3.72	4.07	3.86	4.24	3.69	3.98
MCS	2414	Turfe, Atallah	11	63.6%	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.14	4.38	4.71	4.14	4.57	4.57	3.86	4.43	4.38
MCS	2414	Yu, Na	19	52.6%	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.70	5.00	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.73
MCS	2423	Bauer, Glen	30	86.7%	4.65	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.50	4.73	4.66	4.88	4.77	4.88	4.73	4.85	4.85	4.83
MCS	2423	Michaels, James	30	53.3%	4.38	4.38	4.44	4.56	4.31	4.50	4.43	4.44	4.44	4.50	4.44	4.50	4.44	4.46
MCS	2423	Nelson, Patrick	19	52.6%	4.30	4.40	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.35	4.50	3.90	4.10	4.20	4.50	4.10	4.22
MCS	2423	Zhu, Guang-Chong	19	84.2%	4.25	4.25	4.31	4.06	4.13	4.19	4.20	4.81	4.31	4.69	4.31	4.69	4.50	4.55
MCS	2514	Wang, Yin	16	31.3%	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.20	4.40	4.13	4.80	4.00	4.20	3.20	3.20	4.00	3.90
MCS	2523	Rosen, Michael	14	35.7%	3.20	4.00	4.00	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.83	4.40	3.80	4.00	3.80	5.00	4.00	4.17
MCS	2534	Fawcett, David	22	81.8%	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.22	3.94	4.11	4.20	4.33	4.33	4.56	4.22	4.39	4.40	4.40
MCS	3111	Nelson, Patrick	4	100.0%	3.75	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.29	5.00	4.75	3.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.42
MCS	3324	Husseini, Ghassan	31	64.5%	4.40	4.40	4.25	4.40	4.10	4.15	4.28	4.40	4.20	4.30	4.40	4.68	4.35	4.38
MCS	3403	Carter, Sharon	26	42.3%	4.18	4.09	4.36	4.27	4.18	4.00	4.18	4.55	4.09	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.09	4.32
MCS	3403	Rosen, Michael	22	59.1%	4.00	3.85	4.08	4.08	4.08	3.77	3.97	4.31	4.08	4.31	4.00	4.46	3.92	4.18
MCS	3403	Zalzali, Michael	28	67.9%	3.42	3.53	3.68	3.53	3.53	3.63	3.55	3.79	2.78	3.11	3.26	3.42	3.21	3.27
MCS	3413	Nelson, Patrick	8	50.0%	5.00	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	3523	Yu, Na	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.71	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75
MCS	3543	Zurawski, Erik	13	30.8%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.63	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75	5.00	4.88
MCS	3603	Al Hamando, Mazin	8	37.5%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.67	3.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50
MCS	3633	Farrehi, Khashayar	8	62.5%	2.80	3.00	2.80	3.60	3.00	2.60	2.97	4.00	3.60	3.00	3.40	3.80	3.50	3.57
MCS	3663	Cally, John	7	42.9%	4.67	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.61	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	3.67	3.97
MCS	3733	Dabkowski, Michael	5	60.0%	3.33	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.61	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50
MCS	3863	Bukaita, Wisam	26	96.2%	4.64	4.52	4.44	4.52	4.28	4.28	4.45	4.64	4.24	4.68	4.36	4.40	4.20	4.42
MCS	3863	Zhu, Guang-Chong	13	92.3%	4.58	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.54	4.92	4.58	4.75	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.74
MCS	4613	Brown, David	16	37.5%	3.67	3.33	3.50	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.53	4.17	4.00	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.72
MCS	4623	Sweet, Benjamin	12	83.3%	4.30	4.00	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.22	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.50	4.60
MCS	4643	Faust, Eric	12	33.3%	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.08	4.75	4.25	3.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.21
MCS	4653	Mills, Hans	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.67	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	4833	Shamir, Lior	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MCS	4843	Shamir, Lior	5	80.0%	3.75	4.33	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.04	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.25	4.25
MCS	5013	Al Hamando, Mazin	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5103	Givental, Gary	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.78
MCS	5303	Sabra, Susan	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67
MCS	5323	Chung, Chan-Jin	4	50.0%	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	2.50	4.00	4.08	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.75
MCS	5403	Chung, Chan-Jin	9	55.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5703	El-Bathy, Mohammed	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5993	El-Bathy, Mohammed	4	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
MCS	5993	Fawcett, David	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
MCS	6323	Al Hamando, Mazin	4	25.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
MCS	6823	Chung, Chan-Jin	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	6833	Wang, Yin	3	33.3%	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MCS	7013	Shamir, Lior	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	7033	Shamir, Lior	12	41.7%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40
MET	6213	Lowry, Pamela	21	52.4%	4.36	3.73	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.18	4.18	4.09	3.64	3.91	4.18	4.20	4.00	3.99
MET	6223	Schneider, Robert	11	45.5%	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.90
MET	6233	DeLoose, Stacey	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MET	6303	Tobos, Valentina	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MGT	2113	Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia	27	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.67	4.74	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.67
MGT	2203	Stavros, Jacqueline	23	56.5%	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.47	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.54
MGT	3033	Elwell, William	6	66.7%	5.00	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
MGT	3103	Drommi, Antonio	11	54.5%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.47	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.56	4.56
MGT	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	27	51.9%	3.93	3.86	4.00	3.93	4.00	3.93	3.94	4.07	4.07	4.00	4.07	4.00	4.00	4.04
MGT	4113	Paryani, Kioumars	20	90.0%	4.17	3.94	4.06	3.89	4.00	3.72	3.96	4.22	4.17	4.11	3.78	4.00	4.00	4.05
MGT	4213	Omrani, Massood	10	40.0%	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.29	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42
MIS	6213	Allen, Victor	8	75.0%	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.50	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MKT	2013	Kiani, Isar	21	66.7%	3.79	3.92	4.07	4.21	3.57	3.86	3.90	4.00	3.71	3.93	4.14	4.14	3.86	3.96
MKT	4013	Kiani, Isar	14	85.7%	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.73	4.75	4.75	4.78	4.67	4.58	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.71
MSE	5143	Santangelo, Giuseppe	9	66.7%	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.64	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72
MSE	6183	Mynderse, James	9	55.6%	4.80	4.80	4.20	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.73	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PHY	1154	Bozin, Keith	35	54.3%	2.78	2.72	3.00	2.56	2.61	2.72	2.73	3.41	2.33	2.61	2.56	2.94	2.39	2.69
PHY	2213	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	23	47.8%	3.82	3.91	3.82	4.00	3.64	3.91	3.85	4.64	3.73	3.64	4.27	3.80	3.73	3.96
PHY	2213	Rands, Marilyn	22	81.8%	4.17	4.11	3.89	4.17	3.94	3.94	4.04	4.44	4.28	4.17	4.39	4.44	4.17	4.31
PHY	2221	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	6	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63	4.40	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.70
PHY	2221	Grewer, Royce	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PHY	2221	Pokharel, Rajendra	8	50.0%	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.21	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.33
PHY	2221	Rands, Marilyn	6	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
PHY	2221	Tobos, Valentina	11	36.4%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.63	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.88
PHY	2221	Woodworth, James	6	83.3%	4.00	4.25	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.26	4.40	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.25	4.20	4.30
PHY	2223	Woodworth, James	37	91.9%	3.59	3.76	3.62	3.62	3.29	3.18	3.51	3.62	3.09	3.94	3.59	2.68	2.97	3.31
PHY	2231	Gonderinger, Matthew	10	80.0%	4.13	4.00	4.00	3.63	3.88	3.75	3.90	4.50	4.38	4.25	3.25	3.86	4.25	4.10
PHY	2231	Grewer, Royce	11	72.7%	4.13	4.13	3.75	3.88	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.52
PHY	2231	Sharath, Anusha	14	64.3%	3.11	3.22	3.44	3.56	3.33	3.00	3.28	2.78	2.56	2.89	3.11	2.78	2.67	2.80
PHY	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	25	60.0%	4.13	3.73	4.27	4.00	4.20	4.27	4.10	4.67	4.47	4.20	4.13	4.60	4.53	4.43
PHY	2413	Pokharel, Rajendra	45	66.7%	3.72	3.62	3.86	3.79	3.34	3.62	3.65	4.14	3.55	4.00	3.71	4.07	3.71	3.83
PHY	2413	Tobos, Valentina	23	60.9%	4.57	4.50	4.64	4.64	4.57	4.43	4.56	4.86	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.86	4.57	4.70
PHY	2421	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	14	57.1%	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.13	4.23	4.13	3.88	4.00	4.25	4.75	4.13	4.19

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
PHY	2421	Pokharel, Rajendra	11	81.8%	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.22	4.15	4.11	3.11	3.78	4.11	4.00	3.22	3.72
PHY	2421	Rands, Marilyn	11	81.8%	3.89	4.13	4.00	4.22	3.89	4.11	4.03	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.33	3.78	4.11	4.03
PHY	2421	Tobos, Valentina	10	50.0%	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.37	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.20	4.07
PHY	2421	Woodworth, James	12	91.7%	4.36	4.60	4.00	4.27	4.09	4.27	4.24	4.36	4.27	3.91	3.91	3.91	4.27	4.11
PHY	2423	Moschelli, George	41	73.2%	4.27	4.13	4.00	4.20	4.13	4.03	4.12	4.63	4.20	4.21	4.33	4.57	4.33	4.38
PHY	2423	Pokharel, Rajendra	28	78.6%	3.55	3.45	3.91	3.73	3.55	3.64	3.64	4.32	2.82	3.45	3.68	3.86	3.23	3.56
PHY	2423	Schneider, Scott	43	67.4%	4.62	4.52	4.52	4.48	4.45	4.45	4.51	4.76	4.76	4.79	4.79	4.72	4.69	4.75
PHY	2423	Tobos, Valentina	16	75.0%	4.42	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.43	4.50	4.33	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.42	4.43
PHY	2423	Zhou, Changong	9	77.8%	4.29	4.43	3.43	3.86	4.29	3.86	4.02	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.14	4.33
PHY	2431	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	17	88.2%	4.13	4.20	4.13	4.13	4.20	3.87	4.11	4.20	3.60	3.00	3.73	3.67	3.47	3.61
PHY	2431	Gordon, Steven	20	80.0%	3.38	3.63	3.63	3.50	3.50	3.44	3.51	3.25	3.25	3.56	3.69	3.50	3.38	3.44
PHY	2431	Moschelli, George	20	75.0%	4.13	4.00	3.93	4.00	3.87	3.93	3.98	4.53	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.27	4.27	4.31
PHY	2431	Rands, Marilyn	20	75.0%	3.87	4.07	3.93	4.00	3.67	3.87	3.90	3.93	3.73	3.87	4.20	3.93	3.80	3.91
PHY	2431	Zhou, Changong	36	61.1%	3.86	4.05	4.00	3.95	3.82	3.82	3.92	4.36	4.05	4.00	4.09	4.05	4.14	4.11
PHY	3414	Schneider, Scott	9	66.7%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.42	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.83	4.61
PSC	3001	Schneider, Scott	15	66.7%	4.20	3.70	3.90	4.10	3.80	3.80	3.92	4.00	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.08
PSY	1003	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	11	54.5%	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.72
PSY	1213	Delogu, Franco	19	63.2%	4.08	4.17	3.75	3.75	4.17	4.08	4.00	4.42	4.08	4.33	4.08	4.75	4.42	4.35
PSY	1213	Molloy, Sara	15	66.7%	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.20	4.50	4.20	4.10	4.40	4.20	4.27
PSY	1213	Watson, Donna	11	45.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.87	4.80	4.60	4.40	5.00	4.40	4.80	4.67
PSY	2343	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	19	47.4%	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.44	4.78	4.74	4.56	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.74
PSY	2393	Novetsky, Jason	10	70.0%	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.86	4.95	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PSY	3223	Delogu, Franco	12	58.3%	3.43	4.00	4.14	3.71	4.00	4.00	3.88	4.43	3.29	4.00	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.14
PSY	3323	Molloy, Sara	23	78.3%	4.06	3.89	3.89	4.11	3.94	3.88	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.07
PSY	3633	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	75.0%	4.87	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.60	4.73	4.73	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.67	4.93	4.73	4.78
PSY	3713	Munevar, Gonzalo	14	71.4%	3.90	3.80	3.90	3.50	3.80	3.80	3.78	4.70	4.10	4.20	4.00	4.10	4.20	4.22
PSY	4113	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.17
PSY	4313	Sirabionian, Mgrdich	16	62.5%	4.20	4.30	4.30	4.10	4.10	4.10	4.18	4.30	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.30
PSY	4922	Delogu, Franco	2	50.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
SSC	2303	Kler, Marlene	28	64.3%	4.61	4.50	4.61	4.61	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.72	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67
SSC	2303	Mulder, Daniel	25	48.0%	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.25	4.17	3.92	4.15	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.36
SSC	2403	Mulder, Daniel	15	26.7%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
SSC	2413	Powell, Jeffrey	19	47.4%	4.11	4.33	3.89	3.56	4.00	3.78	3.94	4.78	4.00	4.11	3.78	4.11	4.11	4.15
SSC	2413	Shargel, Daniel	37	56.8%	4.38	4.29	4.43	4.33	4.38	4.33	4.36	4.67	4.52	4.62	4.48	4.48	4.57	4.56
SSC	2413	Sledge, James	23	69.6%	4.56	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.87	4.61	4.94	4.75	4.81	4.81	4.88	4.75	4.82
SSC	2413	Vogt, Philip	50	44.0%	3.36	3.82	3.86	3.05	3.09	2.91	3.35	4.59	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.95	3.05	3.59
SSC	2423	Barrett, Jason	19	73.7%	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.17	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.36	4.29	4.37
SSC	2423	Dettmar, Benjamin	37	70.3%	4.15	4.23	4.38	4.08	4.04	4.12	4.16	4.73	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.65	4.64
SSC	2423	McGillvray, Christy	15	40.0%	3.50	3.83	3.83	3.67	4.00	3.83	3.78	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17
SSC	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	34	58.8%	4.20	4.15	4.15	4.05	3.75	3.90	4.03	4.30	3.90	4.05	3.95	4.20	3.90	4.05
SSC	2423	Sledge, James	29	69.0%	4.75	4.75	4.70	4.75	4.60	4.75	4.72	4.95	4.90	4.85	4.90	4.85	4.95	4.90
SSC	2423	Wilhelm, Stephanie	18	72.2%	3.54	3.46	3.69	3.69	3.31	3.38	3.51	3.85	3.85	3.38	3.62	3.46	3.46	3.60
SSC	3313	Shargel, Daniel	22	72.7%	3.81	3.94	3.88	3.44	3.50	3.13	3.61	4.38	3.88	4.13	4.06	4.06	3.81	4.05
SSC	3363	Shamir, Mirit	27	51.9%	4.00	4.07	3.93	3.71	3.43	3.57	3.79	4.29	4.07	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.13
SSC	3513	Kler, Marlene	17	70.6%	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.74	4.75	4.75	4.92	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.81
SSC	3723	Wirth, Daniel	20	100.0%	4.55	4.60	4.30	4.25	4.10	4.15	4.33	4.80	4.40	4.25	4.45	4.85	4.45	4.53
SSC	4903	Shargel, Daniel	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TAS	1033	Nelson, Robert	16	43.8%	4.43	4.67	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.49	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.74
TAS	3043	Blau, Ben	13	53.8%	4.00	4.00	4.43	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.07	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.00	4.57	4.43	4.45
TAS	3053	Blau, Ben	6	50.0%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67

Spring 2016 Student Course Evaluation Results  
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course					The Instructor								
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
TAS	4103	Breest, Christopher	9	66.7%	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.28	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.83	4.83	4.33	4.28
TAS	4142	Breest, Christopher	9	55.6%	3.60	3.75	4.00	3.60	3.20	3.40	3.54	4.40	4.20	4.00	3.60	4.80	3.60	4.05
TCE	3013	Hammond, Jason	8	75.0%	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.75
TCE	3033	Lamb, Katherine	7	85.7%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.64	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
TCE	3123	Hammond, Jason	7	71.4%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.53	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
TCE	4133	Jones-Williams, Tawanesha	9	55.6%	3.60	3.20	3.60	3.80	3.40	2.60	3.37	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.77
TEE	2053	Power, Joseph	7	71.4%	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.20	4.20	3.60	3.70	4.40	3.60	3.60	4.40	4.20	3.80	4.00
TEE	3103	Cook, Kenneth	6	66.7%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
TEE	4214	Power, Joseph	15	66.7%	3.60	4.40	4.50	3.80	4.30	4.40	4.17	4.80	4.10	4.60	3.90	4.60	4.70	4.45
TEE	6333	Hua, Kun	4	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
TIE	3063	Cuper, Jerry	9	66.7%	4.83	4.50	4.80	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	5.00	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
TIE	4115	Cook, Kenneth	12	58.3%	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.60	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.83
TIE	4913	Szewczuk, Waldemar	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TIE	5013	Abro, Sabah	7	85.7%	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.72	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	22	63.6%	4.71	4.79	4.71	4.79	4.86	4.64	4.75	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86
TME	3204	Hermez, Munther	13	76.9%	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.83	4.90	4.70	5.00	4.90	4.90	5.00	4.90
TME	4103	Huang, Yanjun	7	85.7%	3.50	3.83	3.83	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.64	4.50	3.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	3.67	4.03
TME	4113	Sepulveda, Roland	21	71.4%	4.60	4.77	4.64	4.47	4.53	4.47	4.51	4.80	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.87	4.73	4.78
TME	4343	Abro, Sabah	11	81.8%	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.44	4.44	4.59	4.78	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.78	4.56	4.65
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>11,955</b>	<b>62.5%</b>	<b>4.20</b>	<b>4.21</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>4.12</b>	<b>4.13</b>	<b>4.18</b>	<b>4.46</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>4.38</b>	<b>4.28</b>	<b>4.32</b>